

AFFINE FUNCTIONS ON SIMPLEXES AND EXTREME OPERATORS

BY

A. J. LAZAR*

ABSTRACT

If K is a simplex and X a Banach space then $A(K, X)$ denotes the space of affine continuous functions from K to X with the supremum norm. The extreme points of the closed unit ball of $A(K, X)$ are characterized, X being supposed to satisfy certain conditions. This characterization is used to investigate the extreme compact operators from a Banach space X to the space $A(K) = A(K, (-\infty, \infty))$.

1. If S is a compact Hausdorff space then it is well known that $f \in C(S)$ is an extreme point of the closed unit ball if and only if $|f(s)| = 1$ everywhere on S . Our first aim is to extend this characterization to the more general situation of the space $A(K, X)$ — the space of affine continuous functions on the simplex K having values in the Banach space X with the supremum norm: $\|f\| = \sup_{k \in K} \|f(k)\|$. It is shown that if X is strictly convex or if every three mutually intersecting closed balls of X have a point in common then $f \in A(K, X)$ is an extreme point of the closed unit ball if and only if it maps the extreme points of K into the extreme points of the closed unit ball of X (Theorem 3.4). We obtain this characterization through a similar one for the extreme points of the closed unit ball of $A^*(K, X)$ (Theorem 3.2). In Section 2 we discuss the maximal convex subsets of the unit sphere of $A(K) = A(K, (-\infty, \infty))$; their simple representation is helpful in the next section. In Section 4 we use our results to investigate the extreme compact operators from a Banach space X into $A(K)$. This section contains also a characterization of the extreme positive operators from a $C(S)$ space (S metrizable compact Hausdorff) to $A(K)$: their representing functions map the extreme points of K into the point measures of S (Theorem 4.2). The paper ends with an example which shows that the extreme positive operators from an $A(K)$ space to a $C(S)$ space cannot be characterized in a similar manner.

We deal only with Banach spaces over the real field. The closed unit ball of a Banach space X is denoted by S_X . A Banach space is said to have the n.2. intersection property (n.2.I.P.) if every collection of n mutually intersecting closed

* This note is part of the author's Ph.D. thesis prepared at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem under the supervision of Prof. A. Dvoretzky and Dr. J. Lindenstrauss. The author wishes to thank them for their helpful advice and kind encouragement.

Received February 28, 1967.

balls in X has a common point. By operators we always mean a bounded linear operator.

If K is a convex subset of a linear space then $F \subset K$ is a face of K if it is convex and satisfies: $0 < \lambda < 1$, $k_1, k_2 \in K$, $\lambda k_1 + (1 - \lambda)k_2 \in F \Rightarrow k_1, k_2 \in F$. An extreme point of K is a one point face of it. The set of the extreme points of K is denoted by ∂K .

Let K be a compact convex subset of a locally convex linear topological space. The probability Radon measures on K are ordered by

$$\mu \succ \mu_2 \Leftrightarrow \int_K \phi d\mu_1 \geq \int_K \phi d\mu_2$$

for every convex continuous function ϕ on K (Choquet's ordering). For each $k \in K$ there is a measure μ on K , maximal in this ordering, which represents k , that is, $f(k) = \int_K f d\mu$ for any affine continuous function f on K (cf. [4], [15]). K is a simplex if for each of its points the representing maximal measure is unique. The reader may find all the fundamental facts about simplexes in [4] or [15].

If S is a compact Hausdorff space the probability Radon measures on S form a simplex in $C^*(S)$ when this space is endowed with its w^* -topology. This simplex is of a special type since its extreme points form a closed set. $C(S)$ is isometrically isomorphic with the space of all the affine continuous functions on this simplex. We shall make no distinction between S and its canonic image in $C^*(S)$. Similarly, any simplex K can be imbedded by an affine homeomorphism into $A^*(K)$: $Tk(f) = f(k)$ for $k \in K$, $f \in A(K)$. It is convenient to consider K imbedded in this way into $A^*(K)$.

A collection of non-negative functions $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset A(K)$ is called a partition of the unity on the simplex K if $\sum_{i=1}^n f_i = 1$.

If M is a set then we denote by 2^M the family of all its subsets. Let X, Y be topological spaces. A map $T: X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is said to be lower semi-continuous if for any open set $U \subset Y$ the set $\{x \in X: T(x) \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$ is open in X . Suppose that E and F are linear spaces and K is a convex subset of E . A map $T: K \rightarrow 2^F$ is called affine if $T(k)$ is a non-void convex subset of F for every $k \in K$ and

$$\lambda T(k_1) + (1 - \lambda) T(k_2) \subset T(\lambda k_1 + (1 - \lambda)k_2)$$

when $0 < \lambda < 1$, $k_1, k_2 \in K$. The following theorem on multi-valued maps defined on simplexes was proved in [12] and it is stated here for the convenience of the reader.

THEOREM 1.1. (cf. [12, Theorem 3.1, Corollary 3.3]).

Let E be a Frechet space and K a simplex. Suppose that $T: K \rightarrow 2^E$ is an affine lower semi-continuous map such that $T(k)$ is closed for every $k \in K$. Then there exists an affine continuous selection for T , that is, an affine continuous

function $f:K \rightarrow E$ with $f(k) \in T(k)$ for each $k \in K$. Moreover, if $k_0 \in \partial K$ and $x \in T(k_0)$ then f can be chosen such that $f(k_0) = x$.

2. The following theorem was proved by Eilenberg [9] for the space of all continuous functions on a compact Hausdorff space. The proof given below is an adaptation of his proof to the more comprehensive class of the spaces of affine continuous functions on simplexes.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let K be a simplex and Q a maximal convex subset of $\{f \in A(K): \|f\| = 1\}$. Then there exist $k_0 \in \partial K$ and a sign ε (i.e. $\varepsilon = \pm 1$) such that*

$$(1) \quad Q = \{f \in A(K): f(k_0) = \varepsilon, \|f\| = 1\}.$$

Conversely, every set determined by a point $k_0 \in \partial K$ and a sign ε as in (1) is a maximal convex subset of the boundary of $S_{A(K)}$.

Proof. Let Q be a maximal convex subset of $\{f \in A(K): \|f\| = 1\}$. For every $f \in Q$ we define the following closed faces of K :

$$F_f^+ = \{k \in K: f(k) = 1\}, \quad F_f^- = \{k \in K: f(k) = -1\}.$$

The first assertion of the theorem will be proved if we show that $\bigcap_{f \in Q} F_f^+ \neq \emptyset$, or $\bigcap_{f \in Q} F_f^- \neq \emptyset$. Indeed, if one of these sets is non-void, say $\bigcap_{f \in Q} F_f^+ \neq \emptyset$, then, being a closed face of K , we can find $k_0 \in \bigcap_{f \in Q} (F_f^+ \cap \partial K)$. Clearly

$$(2) \quad Q \subset \{f \in A(K): f(k_0) = \|f\| = 1\}.$$

and the maximality of Q implies that (2) holds with equality sign between its members.

Let us suppose that $\bigcap_{f \in Q} F_f^+ = \bigcap_{f \in Q} F_f^- = \emptyset$. By the compactness of K there are $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{g_j\}_{j=1}^m \subset Q$ such that

$$(3) \quad \bigcap_{i=1}^n F_{f_i}^+ = \bigcap_{j=1}^m F_{g_j}^- = \emptyset.$$

Since Q is convex we have $(\sum_{i=1}^n f_i + \sum_{j=1}^m g_j)/(m+n) \in Q$. Hence $\|\sum_{i=1}^n f_i + \sum_{j=1}^m g_j\| = m+n$. Obviously this equality contradicts (3) so (1) holds for a certain point $k_0 \in \partial K$.

Now let $k_0 \in \partial K, \varepsilon = \pm 1$ and

$$Q = \{f \in A(K): f(k_0) = \varepsilon, \|f\| = 1\}.$$

Assume that there is a convex subset $Q' \subset \{f \in A(K): \|f\| = 1\}$ such that $Q' \supset Q, Q' \neq Q$. Pick $f \in Q' \sim Q$ and denote

$$F^+ = \{k \in K: f(k) = 1\}, \quad F^- = \{k \in K: f(k) = -1\}.$$

The extreme point k_0 does not belong to the closed face $F = \text{conv}(F^+ \cup F^-)$

since $k_0 \notin F^+ \cup F^-$. Indeed, $k_0 \in F^+ \cup F^-$ implies $f \in Q \cup (-Q)$ and if this were true then $0 = \frac{1}{2}[f + (-f)]$ would belong to Q . By a theorem of Edwards [8] there exists $f' \in A(K)$ such that $f'|_F = 0$, $f'(k_0) = \varepsilon$ and $\|f'\| = 1$. Clearly $\|f + f'\| < 2$ therefore $\frac{1}{2}(f + f') \notin Q'$ so Q' cannot be convex. This concludes the proof of the theorem.

From a theorem of Lindenstrauss [13, Theorem 4.8] it follows that if Q is a maximal convex subset of $\{f \in A(K): \|f\| = 1\}$ then $S_{A(K)} = \overline{\text{conv}(Q \cup (-Q))}$. We are going to prove that the closure is superfluous here. Of course, this is well-known for spaces of continuous functions (see [11]).

THEOREM 2.2. *If K is a simplex and Q is a maximal convex subset of $\{f \in A(K): \|f\| = 1\}$ then $S_{A(K)} = \text{conv}(Q \cup (-Q))$.*

Proof. We have to show that $S_{A(K)} \subset \text{conv}(Q \cup (-Q))$. Without loss of generality we may suppose that there exists a $k_0 \in \partial X$ such that

$$Q = \{f \in A(K): f(k_0) = \|f\| = 1\}.$$

Let $f \in S_{A(K)}$. If $f \in Q \cup (-Q)$ there is nothing to prove so we may assume that $f \notin Q \cup (-Q)$. Define the following affine continuous functions on K :

$$f_1(k) = \frac{2f(k) - 1 + f(k_0)}{1 + f(k_0)}, \quad f_2(k) = \frac{2f(k) + 1 - f(k_0)}{1 + f(k_0)}, \quad k \in K.$$

It is easy to check that $h_1 = f_1 \vee (-1) \leq f_2 \wedge 1 = h_2$ and $h_2(k_0) = 1$. From Edwards' separation theorem [8] we infer that there exists a $g_1 \in A(K)$ such that $h_1 \leq g_1 \leq h_2$ and $g_1(k_0) = 1$. If

$$g_2(k) = \frac{2f(k) - (1 + f(k_0))g_1(k)}{1 - f(k_0)}, \quad k \in K,$$

then it is clear that $g_2 \in -Q$ and

$$f = \frac{1}{2}[(1 + f(k_0))g_1 + (1 - f(k_0))g_2].$$

Since $-1 < f(k_0) < 1$ we proved that $f \in \text{conv}(Q \cup (-Q))$ and this concludes the proof of the theorem.

3. We now pass to the space $A(K, X)$ and its dual. The following lemma is an easy consequence of Lemma 2.4 of [12].

LEMMA 3.1. *Let K be a simplex and X a Banach space. The following subset of $A(K, X)$ is norm dense in $A(K, X)$:*

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i x_i: \{x_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset X, \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset A(K), \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i = 1, \phi_i \geq 0 \right\}.$$

THEOREM 3.2. *Let K be a simplex, X a Banach space, $k \in \partial K$ and $x^* \in \partial S_{X^*}$. The functional $y_{k,x^*}^* \in A^*(K, X)$ defined by*

$$(1) \quad y_{k,x^*}^*(y) = x^*(y(k)), \quad y \in A(K, X)$$

is an extreme point of the closed unit ball of $A^(K, X)$. Conversely, to every extreme point of this ball there correspond a $k \in \partial K$ and an $x^* \in \partial S_{X^*}$ related to it by (1).*

Proof. Denote $Y = A(K, X)$. Clearly if $k \in \partial K$ and $x^* \in \partial S_{X^*}$ then the functional y_{k,x^*}^* given by (1) belongs to S_{Y^*} . Suppose that there are $y_1^*, y_2^* \in S_{Y^*}$ such that

$$(2) \quad y_{k,x^*}^* = \frac{1}{2}(y_1^* + y_2^*), \quad y_1^* \neq y_{k,x^*}^*.$$

From the preceding lemma we infer the existence of a partition of unity on K , $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset A(K)$ and the existence of points $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset X$ for which

$$y_1^* \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i x_i \right) \neq y_{k,x^*}^* \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i x_i \right).$$

Then there is an index i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, such that $y_1^*(\phi_i x_i) \neq y_{k,x^*}^*(\phi_i x_i)$. By Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 there is a $\psi \in A(K)$ which satisfies:

$$\psi(k) = \|\psi\| = 1, \quad y_1^*(\psi x_i) \neq y_{k,x^*}^*(\psi x_i).$$

Define two functionals $x_1^*, x_2^* \in S_{X^*}$ by

$$x_1^*(x) = y_1^*(\psi x), \quad x_2^*(x) = y_{k,x^*}^*(\psi x), \quad x \in X.$$

From (2) it follows that

$$\frac{1}{2}(x_1^* + x_2^*)(x) = y_{k,x^*}^*(\psi x) = x^*(\psi(k)x) = x^*(x),$$

that is, $x^* = \frac{1}{2}(x_1^* + x_2^*)$. Hence $x^* = x_1^*$ and in particular

$$y_1^*(\psi x_i) = x_1^*(x_i) = x^*(x_i) = y_{k,x^*}^*(\psi x_i).$$

We obtained a contradiction and by this the first part of the theorem is proved.

Now we pass to show that any extreme point of S_{Y^*} can be represented as in (1). Let $\mathcal{E} = \{y_{k,x^*}^* : k \in \partial K, x^* \in \partial S_{X^*}\}$. First we prove that ∂S_{Y^*} is included in the weak* closure of \mathcal{E} . To see this it suffices to show that S_{Y^*} is the weak* closure of $\text{conv } \mathcal{E}$ (cf. [7, p. 80]). Let $y_0^* \in S_{Y^*}$ and suppose that $y_0^* \notin w^* - cl(\text{conv } \mathcal{E})$. Then, by the separation theorem for compact convex sets there exist a $y \in Y$ and a real number α such that $y_0^*(y) > \alpha$ and $y^*(y) < \alpha$ for every $y^* \in w^* - cl(\text{conv } \mathcal{E})$. In particular

$$x^*(y(k)) = y_{k,x^*}^*(y) < \alpha, \quad k \in K, \quad x^* \in \partial S_{X^*}.$$

By the Krein-Milman theorem and Bauer's maximum principle [2] it follows that $\|y\| \leq \alpha$ in contradiction with $y_0^*(y) > \alpha$. Consequently, $\partial S_{Y^*} \subset w^* - cl(\mathcal{E})$.

Let $y^* \in S_{Y^*}$. By what we have just proved we can find two nets: $\{k_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \partial K, \{x_i^*\}_{i \in I} \subset \partial S_{X^*}$ such that $\{y_{k_i, x_i^*}^*\}_{i \in I}$ converges to y^* in the w^* -topology of Y^* . We may assume that the first net converges to $k \in K$ and the second converges to $x^* \in S_{X^*}$ in the w^* -topology of X^* . Define $y_{k, x^*}^* \in S_{Y^*}$ by

$$y_{k, x^*}^*(y) = x^*(y(k)), \quad y \in Y.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} |y_{k, x^*}^*(y) - y_{k_i, x_i^*}^*(y)| &\leq |x^*(y(k)) - x_i^*(y(k))| + \\ &+ |x_i^*(y(k)) - x_i^*(y(k_i))| \leq |x^*(y(k)) - x_i^*(y(k))| + \|y(k) - y(k_i)\|. \end{aligned}$$

It is easily seen from the above inequality that $y^* = y_{k, x^*}^*$. Clearly $y^* \in \partial S_{Y^*}$ implies that $k \in \partial K, x^* \in \partial S_{X^*}$. This concludes the proof of the theorem.

Now we turn to the space $A(K, X)$ itself. The following theorem generalizes a result of Lindenstrauss [13, p. 43].

THEOREM 3.3. *Let X be a Banach space having the n.2.I.P. ($n \geq 3$) and K a simplex. Then $A(K, X)$ has the n.2.I.P.*

Proof. According to [13, Lemma 4.2] it is enough to show that for any finite set $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset A(K, X)$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a subspace $Z \subset A(K, X)$ having the n.2.I.P. such that the distance between y_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) and Z is not greater than ε .

From [12, Lemma 2.4] we infer the existence of a partition of the unity on $K, \{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^m \subset A(K), \|\phi_j\| = 1, 1 \leq j \leq m$ and the existence of a set $\{x_{ij}; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\} \subset X$ for which

$$(1) \quad \left\| y_i(k) - \sum_{j=1}^m \phi_j(k) x_{ij} \right\| < \varepsilon, \quad k \in K, 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

It is easily seen that the subspace $Z \subset A(K, X)$,

$$Z = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m \phi_j x_j; \{x_j\}_{j=1}^m \subset X \right\},$$

is isometrically isomorphic with $(X \oplus X \oplus \dots \oplus X)_{l_m^\infty}$. Hence Z has the n.2.I.P. (cf. [13, Theorem 4.6]). By (1) we know that the distance of y_i from Z is at most ε and this establishes the theorem.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let K be a simplex and X a Banach space. Assume that*

a) X has the n.2.I.P. ($n \geq 3$);

or

b) X is strictly convex.

Then a function $y \in Y = A(K, X)$ is an extreme point of S_Y if and only if $y(k) \in \partial S_X$ for every $k \in \partial K$.

Proof. One implication is trivial. We prove only that the condition is necessary.

a) Let $y \in \partial S_Y$. Since Y has the n.2.I.P. then, according to [13, Theorem 4.7], we have $|y^*(y)| = 1$ for $y^* \in \partial S_{Y^*}$. Therefore, by Theorem 3.2, if $k \in \partial K$ and $x^* \in \partial S_X$, then $|x^*(y(k))| = 1$. Hence, if $k \in \partial K$, and $y(k) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2)$, $x_1, x_2 \in S_X$ then for every $x^* \in \partial S_X$, we have $|x^*(x_1 + x_2)| = 2|x^*(y)| = 2$. It follows that $x^*(x_1) = x^*(x_2)$ for each $x^* \in \partial S_X$ and this together with the Krein-Milman theorem implies that $x_1 = x_2$.

b) We define the following map from S_X to 2^{S_X}

$$T(x) = \{x' \in S_X : \|2x - x'\| \leq 1\}, \quad x \in S_X.$$

It is obvious that $x \in T(x)$, $T(x)$ is closed and T is an affine map. We shall prove that it is also lower semi-continuous. We have to show that for any $x \in S_X$, any $x' \in T(x)$ and any sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converging to x there are $x'_n \in T(x_n)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ such that $\{x'_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to x' .

If $\|x\| = 1$ the above assertion is clear since in this case $T(x) = \{x\}$. Let $\|x\| < 1$. We choose a sequence of numbers $\lambda_n \in [0, 1]$, $\lambda_n \rightarrow 1$, such that

$$\|x + \lambda_n(x' - x)\| \leq 1 - \|x - x_n\|, \quad \|x - \lambda_n(x' - x)\| \leq 1 - \|x - x_n\|.$$

It is easy to check that $x_n + \lambda_n(x' - x) \in T(x_n)$ and $\|x' - [x_n + \lambda_n(x' - x)]\| \rightarrow 0$. This proves that T is lower semi-continuous.

Let us consider the map $T \circ y: K \rightarrow 2^X$ where $y \in \partial S_Y$. If for a certain $k \in \partial K$ we have $y(k) \notin \partial S_X$, that is $T \circ y(k) \neq \{y(k)\}$ then, according to Theorem 1.1, there is an affine continuous selection of $T \circ y$, y' say, for which $y'(k) \neq y(k)$. Since

$$y = \frac{y' + (2y - y')}{2}, \quad y' \in S_Y, \quad 2y - y' \in S_Y, \quad y' \neq y,$$

we obtained the desired contradiction.

REMARK. The conclusion of the previous theorem will no longer hold if the space X does not satisfy certain conditions like those imposed above. In [3] is given an example of a four-dimensional Banach space X such that not all the extreme points of the closed unit ball of $C([0, 1], X)$ admit the representation expressed by Theorem 3.4.

4. The following lemma, stated also in [12, Lemma 4.1], gives a representation for operators having the range in $A(K)$.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let K be a simplex, X a Banach space and suppose that T is an operator from X into $A(K)$. Then there exists an affine and w^* -continuous function $\chi: K \rightarrow X^*$ such that:*

$$(1) \quad Tx(k) = \chi(k)(x), \quad x \in X, \quad k \in K,$$

$$(2) \quad \|T\| = \sup_{k \in K} \|\chi(k)\|.$$

Conversely, to any affine and w^* -continuous function from K into X^* there corresponds an operator $T: X \rightarrow A(K)$, given by (1) whose norm satisfies (2). T is compact if and only if χ is continuous in the norm topology of X^* .

Combining Theorem 3.4 with the preceding lemma we obtain a characterization of the extreme compact operators whose range is the space $A(K)$. If X, Y are Banach spaces we denote by $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ the space of compact operators from X to Y with the usual norm.

THEOREM 4.2. *Let K be a simplex and X a Banach space whose dual has the n.2.I.P. ($n \geq 3$) or is a strictly convex space. The operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, A(K))$ is an extreme point of the closed unit ball of $\mathcal{L}(X, A(K))$ if and only if there exists an affine and norm continuous function $\chi: K \rightarrow S_{X^*}$ such that*

$$T(x)(k) = \chi(k)(x), \quad x \in X, \quad k \in K$$

and $\chi(k) \in \partial S_{X^*}$ whenever $k \in \partial K$.

REMARKS. As pointed out above this characterization is not valid for any Banach space X . However, the theorem applies to a wide range of spaces which comprises all the L_p ($1 < p < \infty$) spaces since they are strictly convex, the L_1 spaces and those whose duals are L_1 spaces. The last categories of spaces enter here since they include spaces having the 3.2.I.P. (cf. [13, p. 44, Theorem 6.1]). For compact operators between two spaces of continuous functions on compact Hausdorff spaces the result was proved in [3].

Now we turn to the characterization of extreme positive operators from a $C(S)$ space to an $A(K)$ space. The extreme positive operators between two spaces of continuous functions (and even in more general situations) were characterized by A. and C. Ionescu Tulcea, Phelps [14] and Ellis [10] using methods which rely on the algebraic structure of the spaces. We found the idea of the proof of the next theorem in [3].

THEOREM 4.3. *Let K be a simplex, S a compact Hausdorff metrizable space and \mathcal{L}_1 the set of positive operators T from $C(S)$ to $A(K)$ which satisfy $T1=1$. Then the following statements are equivalent for an operator T from $C(S)$ to $A(K)$:*

- (i) T is an extreme point of \mathcal{L}_1 ;
- (ii) There is a function $\chi: K \rightarrow C^*(S)$ which is affine and continuous in the w^* -topology of $C^*(S)$, such that

$$T(f)(k) = \chi(k)(f), \quad f \in C(S), \quad k \in K$$

and which maps ∂K into S ;

(iii) $T1 = 1$ and for any $f, g \in C(S)$, $T(f \vee g)$ is the least upper bound of Tf and Tg in $A(K)$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Denote by $\mathcal{M}_1(S)$ the set of probability Radon measures on S and define $\Phi: \mathcal{M}_1(S) \rightarrow 2^{C^*(S)}$ in the following manner:

$$\Phi(\mu) = \{\mu' \in C^*(S): 2\mu \geq \mu' \geq 0\}, \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(S).$$

We shall prove that Φ is a lower semi-continuous map when $\mathcal{M}_1(S)$ and $C^*(S)$ are equipped with the w^* -topology. We have to show that if $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(S)$, $\mu' \in \Phi(\mu)$ and U is any neighborhood of μ' then there exists a neighborhood V of μ such that $\Phi(v) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ whenever $v \in V$.

Let

$$(1) \quad U = \{v' \in C^*(S): \left| \int_S f_i dv' - \int_S f_i d\mu' \right| \leq 1, f_i \in C(S), 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Suppose that there is a net $\{v_\alpha\} \subset \mathcal{M}_1(S)$ converging to μ for which $\Phi(v_\alpha) \cap U = \emptyset$ for every α . From the Radon-Nikodym theorem we infer that there exists a Borel function g on S such that $0 \leq g \leq 2$ and $d\mu' = g d\mu$. Choose $g_1 \in C(S)$, $0 \leq g_1 \leq 2$, which satisfies:

$$(2) \quad \int_S |g - g_1| d\mu \leq (2 \|f_i\|)^{-1}, 1 \leq i \leq n, \|f_i\| \neq 0.$$

If we define the measure v'_α on S by $dv'_\alpha = g_1 dv_\alpha$ then $v'_\alpha \in \Phi(v_\alpha)$ and

$$(3) \quad \lim_\alpha \int_S f_i dv'_\alpha = \lim_\alpha \int_S f_i g_1 dv_\alpha = \int_S f_i g_1 d\mu.$$

We have

$$(4) \quad \left| \int_S f_i d\mu' - \int_S f_i dv'_\alpha \right| \leq \left| \int_S f_i g d\mu - \int_S f_i g_1 d\mu \right| + \left| \int_S f_i g_1 d\mu - \int_S f_i dv'_\alpha \right|.$$

From (1)-(4) we deduce that v'_α is eventually in U and this is the desired contradiction.

We now define another map $\Phi' = \mathcal{M}_1(S) \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{M}_1(S)}$ as follows:

$$\Phi'(\mu) = \Phi(\mu) \cap \mathcal{M}_1(S), \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(S).$$

It is easy to see that Φ' is affine and $\Phi'(\mu)$ is a w^* -closed subset of $\mathcal{M}_1(S)$ for every $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(S)$. We shall show that Φ' is lower semi-continuous too. Take $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(S)$, $\mu' \in \Phi'(\mu)$ and suppose that $\{v_\alpha\} \subset \mathcal{M}_1(S)$ is a net w^* -converging to μ . By the lower

semi-continuity of Φ there are measures $\nu'_\alpha \in \Phi(\nu_\alpha)$ such that the net $\{\nu'_\alpha\}$ converges to μ' . Define

$$\nu''_\alpha = \begin{cases} \nu'_\alpha / \|\nu'_\alpha\|, & \|\nu'_\alpha\| \geq 1, \\ [2(1 - \|\nu'_\alpha\|)\nu_\alpha + \nu'_\alpha] / (2 - \|\nu'_\alpha\|), & \|\nu'_\alpha\| < 1. \end{cases}$$

Clearly $\nu''_\alpha \geq 0$, $2\nu_\alpha - \nu''_\alpha \geq 0$ and $\|\nu''_\alpha\| = 1$, therefore $\nu''_\alpha \in \Phi'(\nu_\alpha)$. Since

$$\lim_\alpha \|\nu''_\alpha\| = \lim_\alpha \nu''_\alpha(1) = \mu'(S) = 1,$$

the net $\{\nu''_\alpha\}$ is w^* -converging to μ' . We proved that for any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(S)$, any $\mu' \in \Phi'(\mu)$ and any net $\{\nu_\alpha\} \subset \mathcal{M}_1(S)$ w^* -converging to μ there are measures $\nu''_\alpha \in \Phi'(\nu_\alpha)$ w^* -converging to μ' i.e. Φ' is lower semi-continuous.

Let T be an extreme point of \mathcal{L}_1 and $\chi: K \rightarrow C^*(S)$ the function representing it given by Lemma 4.1. Obviously $\chi(K) \subset \mathcal{M}_1(S)$. The map $\Phi' \circ \chi: K \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{M}_1(S)}$ fulfills all the conditions of Theorem 1.1. If $\chi(k)$ does not belong to S for a certain $k \in \partial K$, that is $\Phi'(\chi(k)) \neq \{\chi(k)\}$ then there is an affine continuous selection χ' of $\Phi' \circ \chi$ whose value at k is different from $\chi(k)$. The selection theorem may be used here since $\mathcal{M}_1(S)$ can be imbedded into a Fréchet space by the separability of $C(S)$ (see, for instance, the proof of Theorem 3.5 in [12]). If T' is the operator from $C(S)$ to $\mathcal{M}_1(S)$ corresponding to χ' then T' and $2T - T'$ belong to \mathcal{L}_1 . This is a contradiction since T is an extreme point of \mathcal{L}_1 .

The proof of (ii) \Rightarrow (i) is trivial. We turn to (ii) = (iii). If (ii) holds then $T1 = 1$. Pick $f, g \in C(S)$. Obviously $T(f \vee g) \geq Tf, Tg$. Let $h \in A(K)$, $h \geq Tf, Tg$. If $k \in \partial K$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(f \vee g)(k) &= (f \vee g)(\chi(k)) = f(\chi(k)) \vee g(\chi(k)) \\ &= T(f)(k) \vee T(g)(k) \geq h(k). \end{aligned}$$

By the maximum principle of Bauer [2] this implies $T(f \vee g) \geq h$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $\chi: K \rightarrow C^*(S)$ be the function representing the operator T given by Lemma 4.1. If $f, g \in C(S)$, $k \in \partial K$ then

$$\begin{aligned} (f \vee g)(\chi(k)) &= (T(f) \vee T(g))(k) = T(f)(k) \vee T(g)(k) \\ &= f(\chi(k)) \vee g(\chi(k)). \end{aligned}$$

This means that $\chi(k)$ is a lattice homomorphism of $C(S)$ into $(-\infty, \infty)$, which maps the function identically equal to 1 on S to 1. Hence, $\chi(k) \in S$ (cf. [7, p. 97]) and this completes the proof of the theorem.

REMARK. The assumption of metrizability of S entered in the proof only through Theorem 1.1. Therefore, the conclusion of Theorem 4.2 is valid also if K is a

metrizable simplex and S is homeomorphic with a w -compact subset of a Banach space (see [5], [6] and [1]). It is likely that the theorem is true without any restrictions on S or on K but we have not succeeded in proving it.

The situation is entirely different if we interchange the roles of the spaces $A(K)$ and $C(S)$ in the previous theorem. Let A be the space of the sequences $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converging to $\frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2)$ with the supremum norm. By [13, p. 78, Theorem 4.7] and [16] there is a simplex K such that $A = A(K)$. For instance, K may be the positive face of the unit ball of $l_1 = A^*$. Let T be the identity operator from A to c —the space of converging sequences. Then T is an extreme positive operator but the function from the compactification of the integers N_∞ to K representing it maps the unique non-isolated point of N_∞ to a non-extreme point of K . Still, a dense set of N_∞ is mapped into ∂K . We are going to show that for any compact Hausdorff space S there are a simplex K and an extreme positive operator $T: A(K) \rightarrow C(S)$ such that the representing function of T maps $s \in S$ into ∂K if and only if s is an isolated point of S . A similar fact was proved in [3] but there the domain was not a space of affine continuous functions on a simplex.

EXAMPLE 4.4. Let S be a compact Hausdorff space and S' the set of non-isolated points of S . Denote by $e_s (s \in S)$ the following function on S :

$$e_s(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \neq s, \\ 1, & t = s. \end{cases}$$

Obviously $e_s \in c_0(S)$, $e_s \in l_1(S)$. The dual of $X = (C(S) \oplus c_0(S))_{\infty}^*$ is $X^* = (C^*(S) \oplus l_1(S))_{1_1}^*$.

Consider the following subset of X^* :

$$M = \{(s, \pm e_s) : s \in S'\} \cup \{(s, 0) : s \in S\}.$$

M is bounded and w^* -closed; thus $K = w^* - cl(\text{conv} M)$ is a w^* -compact set whose extreme points belong to M . We shall show that K is a simplex but first we identify the extreme point of K . Clearly, if $s \in S'$ then $(s, 0) \notin \partial K$. If $s \in S - S'$ then $(e_s, 0) \in X$ is a w^* -continuous linear functional on X^* . Its maximal value on K is 1 and it is attained only at $(s, 0)$, thus $(s, 0) \in \partial K$. Pick now $s \in S'$. The w^* -continuous linear functional $(0, e_s)$ takes its maximal value on M at (s, e_s) and its minimal value at $(s, -e_s)$. Consequently $(s, \pm e_s) \in \partial K$. We proved

$$\partial K = \{(s, \pm e_s) : s \in S'\} \cup \{(s, 0) : s \in S - S'\}.$$

Now we turn to prove that K is a simplex. Let μ_1, μ_2 be two probability Radon measures on K maximal in the ordering of Choquet. That is, if μ is a positive Radon measure on K and $\int_K \phi d\mu \geq \int_K \phi d\mu_i$ for every continuous convex function ϕ then $\mu = \mu_i$. Assume that $\int_K \psi d\mu_1 = \int_K \psi d\mu_2$ for each affine continuous function ψ . We have to show that $\mu_1 = \mu_2$.

We begin by showing that $\mu_1(\{(s, 0)\}) = \mu_2(\{(s, 0)\}) = 0$ if $s \in S'$. It suffices to carry on the proof only for μ_1 . Suppose that this were not true and denote by $\varepsilon^+, \varepsilon^-, \varepsilon$ the point measures of (s, e_s) , $(s, -e_s)$ and $(s, 0)$, respectively. The measure

$$\mu = \mu_1 - \alpha\varepsilon + \frac{\alpha}{2}(\varepsilon^+ + \varepsilon^-),$$

where $\alpha = \mu_1(\{(s, 0)\}) > 0$ is non-negative and if ϕ is a continuous convex function on K then

$$\int_K \phi(d\mu) = \int_K \phi d\mu_1 + \alpha[\frac{1}{2}(\phi(s, e_s) + \phi(s, -e_s)) - \phi(s, 0)] \geq \int_K \phi d\mu_1.$$

Since μ_1 is maximal we have $\mu_1 = \mu$. Thus $\alpha = 0$ and our assertion is proved.

By a well-known property of maximal measures μ_1, μ_2 are concentrated on $\bar{\partial K}$ (cf. [4], [15, p. 30]), i.e., $\mu_1(M) = \mu_2(M) = 1$. Thus it is enough to prove the equality of their restrictions to M . The set $\{(s, \pm e_s) : s \in S'\}$ contains only isolated points of M ; therefore, if $E \subset \{(s, \pm e_s) : s \in S'\}$ and if $a_s^i = \mu_i(\{(s, e_s)\})$ $b_s^i = \mu_i(\{(s, -e_s)\})$, then

$$\mu_i(E) = \sum\{a_s^i : (s, e_s) \in E\} + \sum\{b_s^i : (s, -e_s) \in E\}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Define two regular measures on the Borel sets of S by

$$(1) \quad m_i(T) = \mu_i(\{(s, 0) : s \in T\}), \quad T \subset S, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Let $f \in C(S)$, $f' \in c_0(S)$. Since $\int_K(f, f')d\mu_1 = \int_K(f, f')d\mu_2$ we have

$$(2) \quad \int_S f dm_1 + \sum_{s \in S'} a_s^1(f(s) + f'(s)) + \sum_{s \in S'} b_s^1(f(s) - f'(s)) \\ = \int_S f dm_2 + \sum_{s \in S'} a_s^2(f(s) + f'(s)) + \sum_{s \in S'} b_s^2(f(s) - f'(s)).$$

We choose $f = 0$, $f' = e_s$ for $s \in S'$. From (2) we get

$$(3) \quad a_s^1 - b_s^1 = a_s^2 - b_s^2, \quad s \in S'.$$

Thus, if $f \in C(S)$, we have

$$\int_S f dm_2 + \sum_{s \in S'} (a_s^1 + b_s^1)f(s) = \int_S f dm_2 + \sum_{s \in S'} (a_s^2 + b_s^2)f(s).$$

This together with (1) and $m_1(\{s\}) = m_2(\{s\}) = 0$, $s \in S'$, gives

$$m_1 = m_2; \quad a_s^1 + b_s^1 = a_s^2 + b_s^2, \quad s \in S'.$$

By (3) we infer $a_s^1 = a_s^2$, $b_s^1 = b_s^2$, hence $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ and the proof that K is a simplex is completed.

Now define $\chi: S \rightarrow K$ by $\chi(s) = (s, 0)$ and consider the operator $T: A(K) \rightarrow C(S)$ given by

$$T(g)(s) = g(\chi(s)) = g(s, 0), \quad g \in A(K), s \in S.$$

Clearly $T \geq 0$, $T1 = 1$. We are going to show that T is an extreme positive operator despite the fact that $\chi(s)$ is not an extreme point of K whenever $s \in S'$. If T were not an extreme positive operator then there would exist a non-identically null w^* -continuous function $\psi: S \rightarrow A^*(K)$ such that $\chi(s) \pm \psi(s) \in K$ for each $s \in S$. If $s \in S - S'$ then $\psi(s) = 0$, since $\chi(s)$ is an extreme point of K . Now let $s \in S'$. Since K is a simplex and $\chi(s)$ is the middle of the segment joining the extreme points (s, e_s) $(s, -e_s)$ we have $\chi(s) + \psi(s) = (s, \lambda_s e_s)$ where $|\lambda_s| \leq 1$. Choose a net $\{s_\alpha\} \subset S'$, $s_\alpha \rightarrow s$, $s_\alpha \neq s$. Then $\chi(s_\alpha) + \psi(s_\alpha) \rightarrow \chi(s) + \psi(s)$ and, on the other hand, $(s_\alpha, \lambda_{s_\alpha} e_{s_\alpha}) \rightarrow (s, 0)$. Hence $\lambda_s = 0$ and $\psi(s) = 0$. We proved that $\psi \equiv 0$, in other words T is an extreme positive operator.

REFERENCES

1. D. Amir and J. Lindenstrauss, *The structure of weakly compact sets in Banach spaces* (to appear).
2. H. Bauer, *Minimalstellen von Funktionen und Extrempunkte*, Archiv der Math. **9** (1958), 389-393.
3. R. M. Blumenthal, J. Lindenstrauss and R. R. Phelps, *Extreme operators into $C(K)$* , Pacific J. of Math. **15** (1965), 747-756.
4. G. Choquet and P. A. Meyer, *Existence et unicité des représentations intégrales dans les convexes compacts quelconques*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble). **13** (1963), 139-154.
5. H. H. Corson and J. Lindenstrauss, *Continuous selections with nonmetrizable range*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **121** (1966), 492-504.
6. H. H. Corson and J. Lindenstrauss, *On weakly compact subsets of Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (1966), 407-412.
7. M. M. Day, *Normed Linear Spaces*, Springer, Berlin (1958).
8. D. A. Edwards, *Minimum-stable wedges of semi-continuous functions* (to appear).
9. S. Eilenberg, *Banach space methods in topology*, Ann. of Math. **43** (1942), 568-579.
10. A. J. Ellis, *Extreme positive operators*, Oxford Quarterly J. Math. **15** (1964), 342-344.
11. R. E. Fullerton, *Geometrical characterizations of certain function spaces*, Proc. Inter. Symposium on Linear Spaces, Jerusalem (1961), pp. 227-236.
12. A. J. Lazar, *Spaces of affine continuous functions on simplexes* (to appear).
13. J. Lindenstrauss, *Extensions of compact operators*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. **48** (1964), 112 p.
14. R. R. Phelps, *Extreme positive operators and homomorphisms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **108** (1963), 265-274.
15. R. R. Phelps, *Lectures on Choquet's Theorem*, Van Nostrand, Princeton (1965).
16. Z. Semadeni, *Free compact convex sets*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. sci. math. astr. et phys. **13** (1964), 141-146.